

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,914	BILLAU ET AL.
	Examiner Richard Pantoliano Jr	Art Unit 2194

All Participants:

(1) Richard Pantoliano Jr.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Derek P. Martin (Reg. No. 36,595).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Martin on behalf of Mr. William Thomson, Supervisory Patent Examiner for art unit 2194, to inform him that, upon review of arguments in after final amendment submitted 05 December 2007 and further searching, incorporating the limitations of Claims 4 and 5 into the independent claims, as necessary, would place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Martin agreed to the proposal and authorized examiner to enter those changes via examiner's amendment.